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Single-site ruthenium pincer complex knitted in porous organic polymers for green dehydrogenation of formic acid in aqueous medium
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Abstract:
Owing to its capacity for reversible hydrogen storage, formic acid (FA) holds great promise as an energy carrier alternative to conventional fossil fuels systems. While the decomposition of FA to hydrogen (H₂) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) through homogeneous catalysis has been well-established, the selective and efficient dehydrogenation of FA by a robust heterogeneous catalyst remains a challenge. Herein, a novel heterogeneous ruthenium-pincer framework with single-atomic sites was prepared in one step by the direct knitting of a phosphorous-nitrogen PN₃P-pincer ruthenium complex in a porous organic polymer. The heterogeneous ruthenium complex efficiently dehydrogenates formic acid in both organic and aqueous media with remarkably enhanced stability. Notably, no detectible CO was generated and a turnover number of 145,300 was attained in a continuous experiment with no significant decline in catalytic reactivity (in sharp contrast, total TON of only 5,600 was obtained with the homogeneous analog under the same conditions). The single-atomic sites in the porous framework allowed the combination of the desirable attributes of high reactivity and selectivity of a homogeneous catalyst with the significantly enhanced catalyst stability and reusability benefits of heterogeneous catalysis.
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With the rapid consumption of fossil fuels to meet the ever increasing global energy demand,[1] one of the key challenges of this century is to identify a sustainable supply of carbon-neutral energy and the corresponding carrier systems.[2] In this regard, hydrogen has generated considerable interest as an environment-friendly efficient energy carrier[3] because it can be used in fuel cells to generate electricity with water as the only by-product. However, the
widespread application of hydrogen energy technologies has not been realized as yet, primarily because of inherent issues related to hydrogen being a gas under ambient conditions, which limit its volumetric energy density and present difficulties in liquefaction and storage.⁴ Hence, extensive investigations of hydrogen-rich liquids have been conducted for on-demand hydrogen release. Formic acid (FA) is a promising hydrogen energy carrier⁵ owing to its high volumetric capacity (53 g L⁻¹ or 1.77 kWh L⁻¹), low toxicity, and portability. In addition, FA can readily release hydrogen through catalytic dehydrogenation with CO₂ as a by-product and can be potentially regenerated by the catalytic hydrogenation of CO₂ to offer a sustainable energy storage system.⁶

While developing direct FA fuel cells (DFACs) is still challenging because of catalyst poisoning,⁵,⁷ hydrogen generation from formic acid appears to be a great alternative as hydrogen fuel cells are considered as a relatively mature technology and have been commercialized in fuel cell-based vehicles. Over the last decades, many important contributions have been made to this area and various homogeneous⁸ and heterogeneous⁹ catalysts were established. Typically, homogeneous catalytic systems, especially those based on transition metal-organic complexes (e.g. pincer complexes), exhibit very high activity and selectivity. Unfortunately, they also have relatively low stability and recyclability, resulting in a high overall application cost. In contrast, heterogeneous catalysts reduce these costs because of their higher durability, albeit their low activity and generation of CO impurity prohibit their widespread applications. New materials that combine the advantages of both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis will undoubtedly benefit the development of hydrogen from formic acid for practical applications.

In our previous work, we developed a tBu-PN³P-pincer ruthenium complex bearing a dearomatized pyridine moiety with an imine arm that showed excellent catalytic performance in the FA dehydrogenation reaction, attaining a turnover number (TON) of 1,100,000 over 150 h and turnover frequency (TOF) of 7,300 h⁻¹ at 90 °C.¹⁰ The tridentate organic part of the coordinated pincer enforced a meridional geometry on the metal center upon complexation, resulting in a unique balance of stability versus reactivity.¹¹ Mechanistic studies showed that the PN³P ligand played an important role in the FA dehydrogenation reaction via the aromatization–dearomatization process. This process was favorable to the dehydrogenation pathway and as a result, the dehydrogenation/dehydration selectivity was greatly improved.¹⁰ The promising activity of the tBu-PN³P-Ru catalyst prompted us to employ a more economical ligand using phenyl to replace the t-butyl groups. While the resulting Ph-PN³P-pincer ruthenium complex demonstrated high catalytic activity to selectively converted CO₂ captured from air and H₂ to formate,¹² only far-fetched durability of the catalyst was observed in the selective decomposition of FA to H₂ and CO₂. When the catalytic reaction was conducted in aqueous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 90 °C, the initial high TOF value of 1,800 h⁻¹ decreased sharply and the total TON of only 5,600 was reached.

Immobilization of homogeneous catalysts¹³ by covalently attaching the ligand to a solid porous support can enhance their stability and recyclability.¹⁴ For example, the pincer complex systems immobilized on metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) developed by Wade et al. and Farha et al. exhibit greatly improved stability.¹⁵ However, anchoring a pincer complex on a heterogeneous substrate to obtain a single-site dispersed catalyst is quite challenging and tedious.¹⁵b To date, there exist only a limited number of pincer-containing heterogeneous catalysts and they all require special design and multi-step syntheses. Therefore, a direct method
for incorporating existing pincer complexes into a porous heterogeneous framework with single-site dispersion would be undoubtedly important and useful.

In this context, porous organic polymers (POPs)\textsuperscript{16} have attracted a lot of attention as a new class of porous materials because of their unique properties, which include a large surface area, ease of preparation, and low cost. Moreover, the robust covalent bonds used to construct the POPs bestow high chemical and thermal stability. These features make POPs a promising platform for heterogeneous catalysis.\textsuperscript{17} Herein, we report the one-step synthesis of a novel heterogeneous ruthenium compound (PPRu) with single-atomic sites via direct knitting of the Ph-PN\textsubscript{3}P-pincer ruthenium complex (RuP) in a three-dimensional (3D) porous polymer network (Scheme 1). Structural and compositional analysis of the synthesized PPRu revealed that the ruthenium pincer units were successfully incorporated into the porous polymer network. The single-atomic Ru sites in PPRu were confirmed and characterized by high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) and extended X-ray adsorption fine structure analysis (EXAFS). It was found that the heterogeneous ruthenium complex exhibited high catalytic performance and significantly enhanced stability towards FA dehydrogenation in both organic and aqueous media.

The Ph-PN\textsubscript{3}P-pincer ruthenium complex (RuP) was prepared following the reported procedure by us.\textsuperscript{12} Subsequently, the heterogeneous ruthenium compound PPRu was synthesized in one-step via hyper-crosslinking (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{14c, 18} In this method, dimethoxymethane was employed as an external crosslinker to combine the phenyl groups in RuP and simple aromatic compounds (benzene) with rigid methylene bridges via FeCl\textsubscript{3}-catalyzed Friedel–Crafts reaction.\textsuperscript{19} The Ru content loading was carefully controlled by adjusting the molar ratio of the pincer complex to the benzene monomer and the crosslinker to ensure sufficient space between the ruthenium pincer sites. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopic (ICP-OES) analysis showed that the Ru content of PPRu was 1.58 wt\% and elemental analysis (EA) showed that the N content was 1.08 wt\%. The molar ratio of Ru to N was close to the theoretical value of 2:9, which implied that the structure of the PN\textsubscript{3}P-pincer ruthenium complex was unchanged.
The surface morphology of PPRu was probed by SEM and TEM. The SEM image of PPRu shows the 3D architecture with interconnecting thin nanosheets (Figure 1a). The thin wrinkled morphology was clearly resolved by high resolution TEM (Figure 1b). Interestingly, in the high-resolution HAADF-STEM (atomic number or so-called Z-contrast) image, many uniformly distributed bright dots of sub-nm size were observed (Figure 1c). These small dots can be identified as single atoms of the heaviest element present, i.e. Ru. In addition, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and elemental mapping analysis revealed a coherent existence of Ru, P, and N throughout the imaged area (Figure S1, Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI†)).

The successful growth of the hyper-crosslinked polymer network with incorporation of the ruthenium pincer units was confirmed by FTIR, solid-state $^{13}$C-NMR, $^{31}$P-NMR, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The pincer complex of RuP, whose structure has been previously confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, was used for comparison. The FTIR spectrum of PPRu (Figure 1d) showed a peak at 1102 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the P–Ar
stretching vibrations. The peak at 1950 cm\(^{-1}\) of the ruthenium pincer complex was characteristic of the carbonyl group and shifted slightly to 1972 cm\(^{-1}\) in PPRu. The peaks located around 3100–3000, 1600–1450, 1250–950, and 900–650 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the aromatic C–H stretching, aromatic ring skeleton stretching, and C–H out-of-plane and in-plane bending vibrations of the benzene ring, respectively.\(^{[14c]}\) Moreover, the peak at 2921 cm\(^{-1}\) could be assigned to the alkyl C–H stretching vibrations. These observations indicated that the aromatic precursors were successfully connected via methylene linkers.\(^{[19]}\) In the \(^{13}\)C CP/MAS NMR spectrum of PPRu (Figure 1e), the carbon signals of the ruthenium pincer complex could not be identified because of its low loading in the polymer network as well as the low sensitivity of solid-state NMR. The characterization results matched those of the reported hyper-crosslinked polymer,\(^{[20]}\) showing that a similar polymer network had formed. Specifically, the two resonance peaks near 137 and 128 ppm could be attributed to the substituted and non-substituted aromatic carbon, respectively, and the resonance peak near 37 ppm was assigned to the methylene linker carbon. Compared to the \(^{31}\)P NMR peaks of RuP in DMSO-\(d_6\) solution, those of solid PPRu were broader and shifted upfield by ~20 ppm (Figure 1f).

The surface composition and chemical state of PPRu were further analyzed by XPS. The XPS survey scan revealed the existence of C, N, P, and Ru elements (Figure S2). The high-resolution N 1s spectrum (Figure 2g) could be deconvoluted into three peaks centered at the binding energies of 399.3, 398.8, and 396.9 eV, which could be attributed to graphitic, non-coordinated pyridinic, and Ru-coordinated pyridinic N, respectively. The peak shift from 398.8 to 396.9 eV could be explained by interactions between the metal and pyridinic N, involving the transfer of electrons from ruthenium to pyridinic nitrogen. The P 2p spectrum (Figure 1h) showed two peaks, P 2p\(^{3/2}\) (131.2 eV) and P 2p\(^{1/2}\) (132.1 eV), which accounted for the effect of spin-orbit splitting at the 2p level with the expected separation of 0.9 eV \(^{[20]}\) and only the presence of only one P-containing surface species. Compared to the P 2p\(^{3/2}\) binding energy of elemental phosphorus (130.2 eV), this binding energy was slightly higher, indicating that the P were coordinated to Ru and there was no oxidation of P to phosphate (binding energy of 133.2 eV) in the direct knitting process.\(^{[14c]}\) In order to avoid interferences from the carbon substrates arising from the overlap of the C 1s and Ru 3d core-levels, Ru was analyzed by its 3p state instead of the typical 3d spectra.\(^{[21]}\) The Ru 3p region (Figure 1i) reveals the presence of two doublet peaks for Ru 3p\(^{3/2}\) and Ru 3p\(^{1/2}\) centered at 461.8 and 484.2 eV, respectively, for the RuP species, and 462.3 eV and 484.4, respectively, for PPRu, implying that Ru was present in the +2 oxidation state in both samples.

The chemical state and coordination environment of the Ru atoms in PPRu were further investigated by EXAFS and X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopies. Expectedly, PPRu gave similar signals as the Ru-pincer precursor. The Fourier transform (FT) \(\chi^2\)-weighted \(\chi(\kappa)\) function of the EXAFS spectra as well as wavelet transformations (WT) are shown in Figure 2a–c, f and the assignment of the signals to Ru–C(N), Ru–O, Ru–Ru, or Ru–O–Ru interaction was based on EXAFS wavelet transform analysis of the reference materials. In contrast to the Ru foil and Ru oxide reference materials, PPRu did not present a prominent peak at the positions of either Ru–Ru or Ru–O coordination, supporting the conclusion that most of the Ru metal existed as mononuclear Ru centers in the PPRu sample. The WT intensity maximum detected at ~5.3 Å\(^{-1}\) was well resolved as the main peak in the FT spectrum at 1.8 Å for the Ru-pincer RuP and PPRu, and corresponded to the horizontal axis Ru–P contribution. The small side peak at 1.1 Å in the FT spectrum, along with its weak intensity signal in WT, was attributed to the coordination of Ru–N/C. Accompanying the weaker intensity maximum
that was seen as a white spot in WT at ~6.2 Å⁻¹, was a peak at 2.5 Å in the FT spectrum, which corresponded to the interaction of Ru with the P atom in the vertical axis. This is in close agreement with the vertical axis Ru–P bond length of 2.4 Å detected by X-ray single crystal diffraction. Compared to the Ru-pincer, the relatively weaker signal in PPRu implied a weaker Ru–P coordination in the vertical axis.

XANES was also used to investigate the electronic structure of Ru in PPRu. The XANES spectra at the Ru K edge of the Ru-pincer precursor RuP and PPRu and those of the reference materials are shown in Figure 2d. It was observed that the overall profile of Ru/C was much closer to RuO rather than Ru metal foil, which suggested that Ru/C was heavily oxidized to RuO. As indicated by arrows, a clear trend of higher energy shift was observed from Ru foil to RuO, implying that their stable valence states increased from 0 to +2. This trend was further confirmed by the derivative XANES spectrum shown in Figure 2e. It was observed that the entire XANES spectrum of PPRu was identical to that of RuP and the overall profile was much closer to that of the RuO rather than metallic Ru, implying that the stable valences state was +2. The pre-edge peak, corresponding to a 1s-4pz shakeardown transition that is characteristic of a square-planar configuration, showed weaker intensity for PPRu than RuP, suggesting a weaker coordination of the axial ligand. This observation was consistent with the XPS and WT analysis results. We then carried out XANES simulations of the architectural models. Since the single crystal X-ray crystallography data of the pincer precursor RuP was available, the coordination numbers for Ru–N/C and Ru–P were fixed as 2 and 3 accordingly. The good agreement obtained between the experimental and calculated results for the Ru-pincer precursor
validated the reliability of our approach. Not surprisingly, the same model gave good fitting for PPRu with coordination numbers of 1.8 and 2.7 for Ru–N/C and Ru–P, respectively.

The porous properties of PPRu were analyzed by nitrogen sorption analysis (Figure S3a). Its Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area and total pore volume were found to be 810 m²/g and 0.52 cm³/g, respectively. PPRu exhibited a type I adsorption isotherm with a steep nitrogen gas uptake at low relative pressure (P/P₀ < 0.01), reflecting a microporous structure, a slight hysteresis loop indicating the presence of mesopores and a rise in nitrogen uptake at high relative pressure (P/P₀ = 0.9–1.0) was attributed to the presence of macropores. This was confirmed by the pore size distribution plot (Figure S3b) which showed that the pore sizes of PPRu were mainly distributed around 0.7 and 1.2 nm and some mesopores and macropores were also present. The bigger pore sizes can help improve mass transport and reduce diffusion limitations.[1c, 4c, 19b] Moreover, thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) revealed that PPRu was thermally stable up to 260 °C (Figure S4).

With this single-atom dispersed heterogeneous ruthenium porous framework PPRu in hand, we explored its reactivity toward FA dehydrogenation. Not surprisingly, the pristine catalyst did not show any reactivity towards FA dehydrogenation under normal conditions with DMSO as solvent medium and triethylamine (TEA) as the base additive at 90 °C. This could be explained by our recent mechanistic studies of the homogeneous PN₃P-pincer ruthenium complexes, which showed that the dearomatized complex is the catalytically active species.[10] Accordingly, we treated PPRu with potassium tert-butoxide in DMSO for 24 h, and again applied it to FA dehydrogenation under the same conditions. The reaction proceeded smoothly, producing gases after a short induction period, indicating the catalyst had been successfully activated. The success in the activation of the catalyst manifested the advantage of our method, and this knowledge from the homogeneous catalyst could be directly transferred to the prepared heterogeneous catalyst.

Table 1. Catalyst performance over iterative use.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Loading cycle</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>TOF (h⁻¹)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aGeneral conditions: T = 90 °C, FA (30 mmol, 1.2 mL), TEA (10 mmol, 1.5 mL), catalyst:FA = 1:1500 (catalyst amount was equal to the amount of ruthenium pincer complex, which was calculated from the Ru content determined by ICP) in DMSO (5.0 mL). bAverage TOF calculated after 3 h.

At the end of the first cycle, recharging the reaction flask with FA resulted in continued hydrogen production without any catalyst regeneration. In subsequent runs, the intermittent
addition of TEA was found to be important to maintain high TOF values. Interestingly, an increasing trend for TOF was observed over the course of 21 cycles in the PPRu/DMSO system (Table 1) with a TOF up to 266 h⁻¹ in a single run (Table 1, Entry 5 and Figure S8). This may be ascribed to the swelling of the porous organic polymer, as seen in the SEM image of the recovered catalyst (Figure S11), which led to a more open structure and hence, better mass transport. FA was converted to gaseous products with nearly complete conversion (99%) within 24 h in all the recycles (Figure 3). Hot filtration and ICP-OES analysis indicated that the catalyst acted heterogeneously and the leaching of ruthenium species into the solution was negligible (<50 ppb), likely a result of the strong coordination of the PN₃P pincer ligand to Ru. Moreover, GC analysis showed that the produced gas consisted of H₂ and CO₂ without a trace of CO. This implied that the heterogeneous ruthenium catalyst had excellent selectivity for the dehydrogenation of FA into H₂ and CO₂ (Figure S10).

Encouraged by these results, we further tested its performance toward FA dehydrogenation in aqueous medium. High stability towards water is crucial for applying the catalyst to real applications as commercially available FA always contains water. The PPRu catalyst recovered from the DMSO system after 21 cycles was further boiled in tap water for 24 hours in air and then subjected to DMSO/water (1:1, v/v) medium for FA dehydrogenation reaction. To the best of our knowledge, no pincer catalysts have ever been reported to be capable of tolerating such harsh conditions. Gratifyingly, in the aqueous medium, the PPRu catalyst continued to show a high conversion efficiency of ~97%, albeit slightly lower TOFs (170 h⁻¹) in the FA dehydrogenation reaction. The catalyst performance over iterative cycles was evaluated and is plotted in Figure 3. In a single, representative run, formic acid was converted to gaseous products with 97% conversion in 38 h (Figure S5) and afforded an average TOF of 172 h⁻¹ (Table 2, Entry 2). It maintained a TOF of ~160 h⁻¹ over 12 cycles without any significant decay in catalytic activity. After 50 cycles over a period of three months, a remarkable TON of 145,300 was obtained. In contrast, under the same reaction conditions, the homogeneous PN₃P-pincer ruthenium complex RuP gave a higher TOF of 1,806 h⁻¹ and almost complete conversion (99%) in the first cycle. However, its catalytic activity dropped drastically in the subsequent cycles, suggesting its deactivation. In the second and third cycle, TOFs of 92 and 42 h⁻¹ with conversion of 44% and 42% were obtained, respectively, and almost no gas formation could be observed in the fourth cycle, giving a total TON of 5,600. Such significantly enhanced stability of PPRu clearly indicates that besides the strong interactions of the N and P donor atoms with the Ru center in RuP, its knitting in the POPs by hyper-crosslinking with the spatial isolation
of the active sites was beneficial in preventing metal aggregation, migration and/or dissolution, thus promoting the long-term catalytic ability.

Table 2. Representative results of FA dehydrogenation using the heterogeneous PPRu complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>TOF (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DMSO/H₂O</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*General conditions: T = 90 °C, FA (30 mmol, 1.2 mL), TEA (10 mmol, 1.5 mL), catalyst:FA = 1:1500 (amount of the catalyst was equal to the amount of the ruthenium pincer complex, which was calculated from Ru content determined by ICP) in specified solvents. Average conversion (based on three runs). Average TOF calculated after 3 h.

Furthermore, the PPRu catalyst could also serve in other solvent medium such as DMF, toluene, and even H₂O itself with efficiency and reproducibility (Table 2). When the reaction was performed in pure H₂O, the catalyst gave the lowest TOF of 115 h⁻¹ with 90% conversion, which could be attributed to the hydrophobic nature of the porous organic polymer. Such unmatched properties between the solvent and the support might compromise the activity by decreasing mass transfer inside the framework. Changing the solvent from H₂O to toluene resulted in a significant improvement in catalytic activity, giving a TOF value of 208 h⁻¹ and 97% conversion. Very importantly, in all cases, the catalyst were stable and can be used repeatedly.

Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the Ph-PN³P Ru-catalyzed FA dehydrogenation and CO₂ hydrogenation.

Based on our previous mechanistic studies of 'Bu-PN³P Ru-catalyzed homogeneous FA dehydrogenation[10] and the reverse reaction (hydrogenation of bicarbonate) using the Ru-pincer RuP,[12] we proposed a plausible mechanism, as shown in Scheme 2. The mechanism for the Ph-PN³P Ru-catalyzed FA dehydrogenation involves the activation of RuP (1) by treatment with a strong base to form a dearomatized complex 2, followed by the dissociation of the axial PN³P ligand to give two coordinatively unsaturated 16-electron complexes 3, which was
previously reported to be the catalytically active species.\[10\] The catalytic transformation involves three steps: protonation of the imine arm of the dearomatized pincer complex 3 to give the ruthenium formate intermediate 4, decarboxylation of 4 to generate the dihydride species 5 with the liberation of CO\(_2\), and the final elimination of H\(_2\) that regenerates the catalyst. Accordingly, the lower TOF with PPRu as compared to the homogeneous PN\(^3\)P-pincer ruthenium complex in the first reaction cycle could presumably be a result of the slow dissociation of a PN\(^3\)P ligand from the bimetallic ruthenium complex in the solid state to give the catalytically active species. Nevertheless, the active species 3 covalently bonded with the framework and as it was spatially isolated, did not aggregate. As a result, PPRu exhibited the high reactivity and selectivity advantages of a homogeneous catalyst with enhanced catalyst stability and reusability characteristic of heterogeneous catalysis.

Conclusions

In summary, a new porous heterogeneous ruthenium catalyst (PPRu) with single-atomic sites was prepared by directly knitting a Ph-PN\(^3\)P-pincer ruthenium complex into a porous polymer network. The catalyst was then utilized for the conversion of FA to H\(_2\). It was found that PPRu is a robust, water stable, reusable catalyst for FA dehydrogenation that demonstrates excellent selectivity and catalytic activity (TOF and TON up to 266 h\(^{-1}\) and 145,300, respectively). The simple one-step knitting method described in this study offers new opportunities for the development of novel heterogeneous catalysts with significantly improved reactivity and durability. Further studies on the catalytic applications of PPRu and the synthesis of other heterogeneous catalysts with single-atomic sites using this method are currently ongoing.
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